

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/523,302	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HUGHES, JONATHAN
	<b>Examiner</b> /Peter A. Hruskoci/	<b>Art Unit</b> 1797

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) /Peter A. Hruskoci/.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Sheila A. Logins.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 August 2008**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.****Rejection(s) discussed:***Prior Art Rejections as stated in the Prior Office Action dated 3/18/08***Claims discussed:***All***Prior art documents discussed:***Prior Art of Record***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***It was agreed to amend claim 1 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of pages 8-11 of the instant specification.***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Peter A. Hruskoci/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)